Performance of Wind Power Plant in West Kalimantan, Indonesia
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Abstract—The aim of this paper is threefold. First, to calculate the correction factors based on existing meteorological station positions and its extension according to the basic map of West Kalimantan. Second, to perform reanalysis, the wind speed from satellite measurements of national oceanic, and atmospheric administration (NOAA) and physical sciences division (PSD) in West Kalimantan by calculating its resultant and applying correction factor to create a map of wind power potential in West Kalimantan. Last, to apply the highest corrected wind speeds using HOMER simulation to know the wind energy potential. Conclusions have extracted the characteristics of wind speed variability over West Kalimantan, and HOMER simulation results of the highest wind speed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Based on the electric power system development plan of PT. PLN (Persero) \textsuperscript{1} in West Kalimantan Province, as of September 2015, over 89% of power supply in West Kalimantan came from oil-fired generators which would increase greenhouse gases. This issue is not in line with the government’s efforts in the National Climate Change Mitigation Action Plan \textsuperscript{2} which aims to reach a target of greenhouse gases by 26% in 2020. According to the Directorate General of New Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation of the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources (Ditjen EBTKE) \textsuperscript{3} on Indonesia Outlook Energy 2019, wind energy potential in Indonesia is 66.6 GW. Today, a wind power plant has been constructed in Sidrap, South Sulawesi and has operated since 2018 with a capacity of 75 MW. Sidrap wind power plant was the first and largest wind power plant in Indonesia. At the end of 2018, a wind power plant in Jeneponto, South Sulawesi has begun to operate with a capacity of 72 MW.

Many researchers had reported their studies about the potential of wind energy in Indonesia. However, it should be noted that the previous studies \textsuperscript{4-6} used wind speed data in relatively narrow locations and short data length, and not using normal meteorological standards and reanalysis. For these reasons, the present study uses a long normal meteorological standard period of 1981-2010. This standard describes events or property which the area experiences. Besides, the size of the research area extension and reanalysis are also used to get electric power potential spatially.

Therefore, this study is expected to offer a new idea for similar studies to produce a standard spatial distribution of electric power potential of wind energy. To find out in more detail, the potential of wind energy, a HOMER simulation has also been used, with the inputs of meteorological standard wind speed and wind power plant components. Essentially, the present study aims to combine electrical engineering with renewable energy and meteorology. It focuses on wind energy potential based on the normal meteorological standard of wind elements in West Kalimantan and its capability.
II. METHODOLOGY

The research methodology was briefly drawn using the flowchart in Figure 1. The components of the flowchart are explained in detail in Section A-D.

A. Calculation of Correction Factor

In West Kalimantan, there are 8 meteorological station’s positions. Since these stations are not enough to create a scatter map of wind power potential in West Kalimantan, it needed at least 25 grid positions with latitude and longitude covering all of the stations and surrounding waters. Determination of 25 grids is based on these station’s positions, however, the position of the grid does not need to be the same as the station. The station and grid positions are listed in Table 1. When the grid positions are mapped into the West Kalimantan basic map, then the visual could be seen as Figure 2.

QGIS is used to create weighted spatial view maps distances or inverse distance weighting (IDW). The data that is mapped is a calculation result of electrical power. QGIS uses the input of the SHP extention file for the base map of Kalimantan, West Kalimantan, and the sea around Kalimantan. QGIS is also used for the intersect process to determine the amount of electrical power in sub-districts in West Kalimantan.

The correction factor was determined by the IDW method. This is an interpolation method, to estimate the value of unsampled position from surrounding data, as formulated below [7].

\[
Z_p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Z_i}{d_i^p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i^p}} \tag{1}
\]

where \(Z_p\) = the data value to be estimated, \(Z_i\) = \(i^{th}\) data value, \(d_i^p\) = \(i^{th}\) distance at series value \(p\).

The formula is applied for every grid position and finally counts the average correction factor.
Figure 2 Position of grids on the basic map of the West Kalimantan

B. Reanalysis


Based on technical regulation of the WMO Guideline on the calculation of climate normal [8], the normal meteorological standard is updated every ten years, where the first year of the period ends with 1 and the last year-end with 0. The normal meteorological standard is used for two main objectives, i.e. as a benchmark for the latest or current observation to compare and as a basis for climate anomaly. The normal meteorological standard is also used implicitly and explicitly as a description of the most likely condition in an area.

WMO-TD/No.341 [9] also describes the calculation of the normal meteorological standard. The monthly standard normal is calculated from year-month values. For example, to calculate the normal meteorological standard of January, the averages of Januarys are calculated consecutively (January 1981, 1982, 1983,... 2010). However, if there is any missing data, a normal meteorological standard cannot be calculated.

In this study, reanalysis data were NOAA and PSD outputs from Satellite measurements at 10 m above the ground and with an air pressure of 1,000 millibars. The data measured were zonal \(u\) and traditional \(v\) winds that performed sub-daily or every 6-12 hours, between 1981 and 2010 for all 25 grids.

- Calculation of Wind Speed Resultant

The wind speed resultant \(V\) was determined from wind speed \(u\) and \(v\), according to equation (2) and Figure 3 below [10].

\[ V = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \]  

where \(u = \text{Zonal wind (m/s)}\); \(v = \text{traditional wind (m/s)}\).

Figure 3 Illustration of wind speed resultant \(V\)

- Calculation of Corrected Wind Speed Resultant

Correction value application was used on data reanalysis by multiplying average correction factor value with wind speed resultant of data reanalysis, as has been performed by Chauhan [11].

C. Wind Power Calculation

Brown, C.K., and Warne [12] stated that the wind energy conversion system to generate electric power is calculated by the equation below.

\[ (P_{\text{wp}}/A) = c_p \cdot \eta_p \cdot \eta_g \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho \cdot V^3 \ (W/m^2) \]  

Where:

- \((P_{\text{wp}}/A)\) = electric power generated per cross section unit of the blade;
- \(c_p\) = coefficient of power (0.4);
- \(\eta_T\) = transmission efficiency (0.95);
- \(\eta_g\) = generator efficiency (0.85);
- \(\eta_b\) = battery efficiency (0.75);
- \(\rho\) = air density (1.2 kg/m\(^3\));
- \(V\) = corrected wind speed resultant (m/s).

Electric power calculation results were mapped using QGIS per monthly normal period and were intercepted. The intercept is an overlay analysis method, with the operation of cutting an input layer with an overlay attribute to produce and output with attributes that have data from the two attributes. The overlay is the ability to combine two attributes in one map. The process is illustrated in the following Figure [7].
D. HOMER Simulation

HOMER simulation was used using inputs of corrected wind speed resultant data, load profile data, wind turbine (specification and prices), battery, and converter to result in the highest and the lowest electric energy as the potential of wind power in West Kalimantan.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Correction Factor

Figure 5 shows that the highest correction factor is grid 21, then the second-highest is grid 11. Grid 21 is located at 5°N 115°E, while grid 11 at 5°N 110°E. Both are located at the same latitude which is 5°, which is the farthest location from the 25 grids.

The average correction factor was 0.41 (--- line). Ten grids had above and below average correction factors. It happened because most of the grids were located in the sea and some were located inland with a higher elevation than the surrounding areas. As stated in the previous paper [13], the correction value was affected by location. The higher correction was found with higher elevation. Similarly, the sea had rather significant data correction. This condition supported the importance of the correction factor in data reanalysis. Therefore, data reanalysis became more reliable for the next process, i.e., power calculation.

B. Normal Climatological Standard (NCS) of Wind

The use of normal climatological standards has 2 (two) main objectives, namely the first as a benchmark against the latest or current observations to be compared as a basis for climate anomaly analysis. Second, the normal climatological standard is also used implicitly and explicitly as a description of the conditions most likely to occur in a region. Therefore, the calculation of electric power using the normal climatological standard wind speed data is expected to be used as a standard reference regarding the potential for electrical power from wind energy inside the West Kalimantan region.

The wind is a mass of air that moves due to a gradient air pressure, therefore it becomes significant for understanding wind patterns and wind speed based on normal climatological standards [14]. The obtained normal climatological standard wind pattern as well wind speed is described as follows.

- Wind Patterns
In atmospheric science (meteorology), wind pattern is commonly defined as Streamline. Streamline analysis is performed for many reasons. Air Force Weather Qualification Training Packaged [14] stated that Streamline analysis was performed to identify wind patterns or character which passes a certain region. In this study, analysis of Streamlines is performed to identify the pattern or characters of wind through West Kalimantan to determine the effects on the resulting electric power. Here’s a Streamline analysis or normal climatological standard wind pattern.

- Wind Speeds
In Table 2, it is explained that several 6 grids (24%) have a value of wind speed (normal climatological standard) before the correction was lower and smaller
variability than after correction. Then, as many as 19 grids (76%) have a lower wind speed value and small variability after the correction process. This shows that the correction process was successful, as evidence by 76% of the grid has small variability at the time after correction.

C. Electric Power based on NCS of Wind Speed

Based on the map of wind power potential that was calculated based on the NCS wind speed from January to December, there was the same distribution pattern. The central part of West Kalimantan was reported to have lower wind power potential than the northern and southern areas (the combined map is shown in Figure 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid nr.</th>
<th>Lon (deg.)</th>
<th>Lat (deg.)</th>
<th>Avg. Gradient (m/s)</th>
<th>Graph pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>Before correction &gt; after correction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The condition was related to wind pattern or Streamline analyzed before where the central part of West Kalimantan had wind turn (shear line) and reduced speed to < 2 m/s. Wind energy in northern and southern areas tended to be bigger. It indicates wind pattern enters or exits West Kalimantan, so the resulting power is bigger because the wind speed is higher.

D. Results of HOMER Simulation

HOMER simulation selected the domestic load to be observed for 7 days. The daily profile is shown in Figure 8. The observed daily load showed a clear peak load pattern at 04.00-08.00 a.m. and 05.00-10.00 p.m.

This average wind speed was the NCS of wind speed in the selected simulation location i.e. Tumbang Titi. The highest average wind speed was 7.660 m/s which occur in April, with an annual average of 7.07 m/s, as shown in Figure 9.

The annual average is suitable for the wind turbine Generic 3 kW or G3 based on the power curve (Figure 10), the minimum wind speed to produce power was 4.00 m/s.
By the annual average wind speed of 7.07 m/s, the G3 could produce around 0.56-1 kW. Meanwhile, Generic 10 kW or G10 could produce 2-3 kW. On the power curve, both areas were still far from the maximum wind speed of 15 m/s as a measure of wind speed maximum with each wind turbine.

The simulation in the present study used modeling (Figure 11). The specification of components of turbines and the simulation results are illustrated in Table 3.

In the G3 turbine simulation, according to each flow system, the equipment will be replaced in the 10th, 15th, and 20th years. In the G10 turbine simulation, the equipment will be replaced in the 15th and 20th years. Those wind power plants will obtain profit (remaining value in the power system component at the end of the project period) in the 25th year.

The power generated by the G10 was only 4 times greater than the G3. This data can be used as a very meaningful consideration since it is less efficient to use the G10 wind turbine on the small scale. According to each flow summary, wind turbine requires the highest cost. Based on monthly average production (Figure 12), the G3 turbine produced the monthly average electricity production of 9,457 kWh/year. The main load (primary load) has been fulfilled at 808 kWh/year and has excess power of 8,613 kWh/year. Meanwhile, if using a G10 wind turbine, it can produce 33,892 kWh/year of electricity, the primary load has been fulfilled at 808 kWh/year and has excess power of 33,085 kWh/year.

**TABLE III**

**SPECIFICATION OF COMPONENTS AND SIMULATION RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Li-ion</td>
<td>1 kWh; 6 V</td>
<td>G1: the smallest initial SOC in April and May (20%), and 25%. Generally, SOC of battery is 82% - 100%. G10: the smallest initial SOC in July (30%). Generally, SOC of battery is 85% - 100%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine</td>
<td>Generic</td>
<td></td>
<td>G1: 9,457 kWh/year of electricity. The primary load: 808 kWh/year; excess: 8,645 kWh/year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator G3</td>
<td>Rotor diameter: 4.5 m</td>
<td>Cut in speed: 4 m/s</td>
<td>Maximum output: 3 kW/h (at 15 n/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter G10</td>
<td>Rotor diameter: 15 m</td>
<td>Cut in speed: 4 m/s</td>
<td>Maximum output: 10 kW/h (at 15 n/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter Generic</td>
<td>1 kWh</td>
<td></td>
<td>G3: the inverter operates for 2.122 hours/year with a maximum output of 0.210 kW and losses of 0.17 kWh/year. The rectifier operates for 993 hours/year with a maximum output of 0.226 kW and losses of 9.55 kWh/year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter G10</td>
<td>1 kWh</td>
<td></td>
<td>G10: the inverter operates for 2.147 hours/year with a maximum output of 0.210 kW and losses of 5.51 kWh/year. The rectifier operates for 953 hours/year with a maximum output of 0.225 kW and losses of 6.44 kWh/year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulation mechanism of HOMER is a load-following system that meets the main load requirement in advance and other sales against any excess power. Based on simulation results using the two wind turbines, it can be analyzed that each wind turbine has a designation or use in a particular sector and region or scale. G3 wind turbines tend to be more suitable for small-scale use such as small areas. In larger areas, a G10 wind turbine can be used. Apart from the consideration of the expensive cost, the average wind speed of 7.07 m/s is still classified a low, especially for the G10 wind turbine.

For the validation, it is reported that Ketapang Regency has the highest wind speed compared with others, produced electric power of 1.013 kW [15]. In general, wind speed in Indonesia is categorized into the 3rd category [16], i.e. 4.5-12 m/s, that produced electric energy of more than 1000 kWh/year. These articles validated the present study.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The present study concludes that the wind power potential in this study is based on the normal
meteorological standard. Wind power potential was low in June and December, however, it has the highest value in April. The northern and southern coasts of West Kalimantan (Sambas and Ketapang Regency) had higher wind power potential than other areas in West Kalimantan, i.e. > 26 W/m². The highest wind power potential was documented in Ketapang Regency (Tumbang Titi Sub-district), which reached >52 W/m². Wind power potential capability shows that a wind power plant should be built in Tumbang Titi Sub-district. Based on monthly average production, the G3 turbine produced the monthly average electricity production 9,457 kWh/year. The main (primary) loads had been fulfilled 808 kWh/year and excess power of 8,613 kWh/year. Meanwhile, if a G10 wind turbine is used, it can produce 33,892 kWh/year of electricity, the primary load has been fulfilled 808 kWh/year and has excess power of 33,059 kWh/year.
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